	FY12	& FY13	Progra	m Specific Activities		Dian Connections	
(A)	(8)	(C)	(D)	The first of the f		Plan Connections	
SWCD Program	BWSR Program Allocation	Required Program Match	Activity Type	Activity Description	Plan Type	Plan Category	Plan Connection
Conservation Delivery	\$39,001	No Match Required	Operational Expenses	Salaries & benefits for Office Mgr & 2 techniciansincludes all aspects of accounting, grant writing &	County	Administration	SWCD adopted County Water Plan. From 5 year focus plan goal 1, objective 1, initiative 1 provide educational, technical and financial assistance as available to landowners for implementation of water quality related BMPsPlan doesn't speak specifically to administrative costs. It addresses programs and BMPs.
			Operational Expenses	reporting, recordkeeping, board business Office Maintenace			
			operational expenses		County	Administration	
			Operational Expenses	includes rent & telephones, vehicle expenses, etc	A ANNOTHER OF		
			Operational Expenses	Equipment for SWCD Employeescomputers & softwar Professional feesauditor fees		Administration	
			operational Expenses	Professional reesauditor rees	County	Administration	
	ri i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE	BY THE STREET STREET	Market and the second s		
			Erosion Control Water	Sediment Basins, Grade Stabilization, and Stream Bank Stabilization Structure	County	Land and Water Treatment	SWCD adopted County Overal 10 year Water Plan page 13 Goal 1, Objective 2, promote and preservation and restoration of upland storage areas wetlands, water and sediment basins), which will slow surface runoff, stabilize stream hydrographs and reduce downstream flooding.
State Cost- Share	\$27,196	Varies According to Practice or Activity	Livestock Waste Management	Stacking Slab and other feedlot BMPs	County	Land and Water Treatment	SWCD adopted County Water Plan, 2008 Addendum (Five-Year Focus Plan)page 7 Goal 1, Objective 2 Initiative: 7. Non- compliant Feedlots. Provide educational, technical, and financial assistance, as available, to landowners/producers to upgrade noncompliant feedlots.
		Activity	Habitat Restoration	Critical Area Planting	County	Land and Water Treatment	SWCD adopted County Water Plan page 26 Goal 7, Objective 1, Promote the planting of buffer strips, windbreaks, living snow fences, native grasses and food plots to increase wildlife habitat while improving water quality.

	FY12	& FY13	Prog	gram Specific Activities		Plan Connections					
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	Activities (E) and the (E)	(F)	(G)	1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -				
SWCD Program	BWSR Program Allocation	Required Program Match	Activity Type	Activity Description	Plan Type	Plan Category	Plan Connection				
			Technical/Administrative	Design and Reporting in e-link	County	Administration	SWCD adopted County Water Plan, 2008 Addendum (Five-Year Focus Plan)page 6 Goal 1, Objective 1, BMP program. Provide educational, technical, and financial assistance as available, to landowners for implementation of water quality related BMPs				

FY1	2 & FY13	Program Specif	ic Activities	Plan Connections				
(A) (B)	(C)	ART THE COLUMN (D) THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY	COLUMN TO SECURITION OF THE SE	(F) install to the con-	MANAGER WALL (G) CONSIDER HER	(H)		
SWCD BWSR Program Allocation	Required Program Match	Activity Type	Activity Description	Plan Type	Plan Category	Plan Connection		
NPEA \$0 Program	\$0			Does not apply	Does not apply	Does not apply		
TOTALS \$66,19	50							

	FY12	& FY13				Budget	
(A)	(B)	(c)	(0)	(1)	(K)	THE PERSON OF TH	(M)
SWCD Program	BWSR Program Allocation	Required Program Match	Requested Biennial State Contribution (BWSR)	Anticipated Biennial Match Funds Provided	Total SWCD Activity Budget	What additional SWCD Program and Operations activities could be accomplished with an additional State contribution?	How much additional funding would be required to accomplish those activities?
Conservation Delivery	\$39,001	No Match Required	\$30,000 \$0 \$0 \$6,001 \$0 \$1,000 \$2,000	\$417,000 \$0 \$0 \$138,000 \$1,000 \$2,000	\$597,001	The SWCD is currently meeting only minimum performance requirements due to lack of funding. Workload is based on funding sources, not on natural resource concerns or water plan priorities. To meet our core mission, we need stable funding for an additional technician to accomplish the following goals: Conservation practice promotion, data collection to facilitate grant opportunities, increase efforts for easement management and enhancement through in-depth conservation plan management with easement holders. Seek funding sources for easement management goals.	\$120,000
(TO SERVE)		<u> </u>	\$39;001	\$558,000			
			\$13,757	\$4,586			
State Cost- Share	\$27,196	Varies According to Practice or Activity	\$6,000	\$2,000	\$34,449		\$0
			\$2,000	\$667			

	FY12	& FY13				Budget		
(A)	(8)	(c)	(1)	(J)	(K)	(0)	Marie Caroli (M) I call allo	
SWCD Program	BWSR Program Allocation	Required Program Match	Requested Biennial State Contribution (BWSR)	Anticipated Biennial Match Funds Provided	Total SWCD Activity Budget	What additional SWCD Program and Operations activities could be accomplished with an additional State contribution?	How much additional funding would be required to accompli- those activities?	
			\$5,439	\$0				
		[\$0					
			\$0					
		l 1	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0				

	FY12	& FY13				Budget	
(A)	(B)	(C)	(0)	(1)	(16)		(M)
SWCD Program	BWSR Program Allocation	Required Program Match	Requested Biennial State Contribution (BWSR)	Anticipated Biennial Match Funds Provided	Total SWCD Activity Budget	What additional SWCD Program and Operations activities could be accomplished with an additional State contribution?	How much additional funding would be required to accomplish those activities?
			\$0				
			\$0	\$0	0		
			\$0	\$0			
NPEA	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
Program	70	30 [\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0
		[\$0	\$0			
		[\$0	\$0	į		
		j	\$0	\$0			
		AVAICAGE S	\$0	50		THE RESERVE OF THE PROPERTY OF	VESTA BEING LEE SIN SER
TOTALS	\$66,197	\$0	\$66,197	\$565,253	\$631,450		\$120,000

		Water Resou	rce of Concern			Targeting			Proiec	ts and Activities	
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	Sicrician (E) moderns	(F)	(G)	(H)	0		(K)	(L)
Local Resource Priority	Primary Water Resource of Concern	Primary Water Resource Category	Conventional Water Quality Impairments Y/N	Water Quality Concern	Scale of Activity Focus	Watershed: 8 Digit HUC	Sub- watershed: 12- Digit HUC (if known)	Project Activity Description	Water Plan Category	Primary Activity	Why is this activity important for the water resource?
	Minnesota River	River	Yes	E-coli and bacteria contributing to the lower Minnesota River dissolved oxygen impairment.	Minor Watershed	07020007	0504	Stacking slab with grass filter	Land and Water Treatment	Livestock Waste Management	It is important to maintain healthy and high quality water to allow for recreating in the Minnesota River and tributaries to be a safe activity.
1	Minnesota River	River	Yes	E-coli and bacteria contributing to the lower Minnesota River dissolved oxygen impairment.	Minor Watershed	07020004	1204	Roof Structure with slotted pit	Land and Water Treatment	Livestock Waste Management	It is important to maintain healthy and high quality water to allow for recreating in the Minnesota River and tributaries to be a safe activity.
	Minnesota River	River	Yes	Sediment contributing to turbidity impairment	Major Watershed	07020004		Install Root Wads to repair the bank structure and establish vegetation	Land and Water Treatment		It is important for down stream consumption of water and for recreation on the Minnesota River this water body to at least meet minimum standards.
2	Lake Allie	Lake	No	Sediment contributing to high turbidity and nutrient loading	Minor Watershed	07010205	0404	Rip rap or root wads will be installed to control bank erosion	Land and Water Treatment		It is important to reduce sedimentation to establish maximum water clarity for recreation on this lake.

	Plan Connection	on		Output	5	Budget		
(A)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)
Local Resource Priority	Water Plan or TMDL Implementation Plan Priority Connection	Plan Type	Number of anticipated outputs	Number of outputs specifically identified at this time	Describe how these activities could be accomplished in a 3-year grant period.	Requested State Contribution for FY14-15 Biennium (\$)	Potential Leveraged Funds (\$)	Resource Management Budget(\$)
	5 year focus plan page 7 Goal 1, objective 2, Initiative 7. Noncompliant Feedlots. Provide technical, and financial assistance, as available, to landowners/producers to upgrade noncompliant feedlots.	County	1 Feedlot	1 Feedlot	The preliminary design is completed by an engineer, final design in year one and construction in year two.	\$27,000	\$10,000	\$37,000
1	5 year focus plan page 7 Goal 1, objective 2, Initative 7. Noncompliant Feedlots. Provide technical, and financial assistance, as available, to landowners/producers to upgrade noncompliant feedlots.	County	1 Fee dlo t	1 Feedlot	The landowner has researched the practices and agreed to this project, designs would be completed in year one and construction would take place in year 2.	\$90,000	\$30,000	\$120,000
	5 year focus plan page 6 Goal 1, objective 1, Initiative 1. BMP Program. Provide educational, technical, and financial assistance as available, to landowners for the implementation of water quality-related BMP's such as stream bank stabilization.	County	2 Bank Stab Structure	0 Bank Stab Structure	Priority locations will be identified and preliminary designs will be complete in the first year, a final design and construction would be completed in the second year.	\$40,000	\$10,000	\$50,000
2	Water Plan Ch.5 pg.8 Goal 1, objective 1. Provide educational, financial and technical assistance to landowners to implement BMPs (i.e. bank stabilization) in the Lake Alliewatersheds.	County	3 Bank Stab Structure	3 Bank Stab Structure	Priority locations for bank stabilization have been determined on the lake. The Lake Association is willing to contribute cost share match. A design will be completed the first year and construction will be complete by the second year.	\$60,000	\$16,500	\$76,500

		Water Resou	rce of Concern			Targeting			Proiec	ts and Activities	
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	0	(0)	(K)	(L)
Local Resource Priority	Primary Water Resource of Concern	Primary Water Resource Category	Conventional Water Quality Impairments Y/N	Water Quality Concern	Scale of Activity Focus	Watershed: 8 Digit HUC	Sub- watershed: 12- Digit HUC (if known)	Project Activity Description	Water Plan Category	Primary Activity	Why is this activity important for the water resource?
	Minnesota River	River	Yes	Sediment contributing to turbidity impairment	Major Watershed	07020007		Rip rap or root wads will be installed to control bank erosion on county ditches	Land and Water Treatment	Erosion Control Water	It is important for down stream consumption of water and for recreation on the Minnesota River this water body to at least meet minimum standards.
	Minnesota River	River	Yes	Sediment contributing to turbidity impairment	Minor Watershed	07020004	1106	Install a series of sediment basins where recent rain events have caused extensive erosion and gullies to develop	Land and Water Treatment	Erosion Control Water	It is important for down stream consumption of water and for recreation on the Minnesota River this water body to at least meet minimum standards.
3	Minnesota River	River	Yes	Sediment contributing to turbidity impairment	Major Watershed	07020007		Install grade stabilization structures to reduce over land flow where concentrated flow is causing gulley erosion		Erosion Control Water	It is important for down stream consumption of water and for recreation on the Minnesota River this water body to at least meet minimum standards.
4											
5											

	Plan Connection	on		Output	S	Budget			
(A)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	
Local Resource Priority	Water Plan or TMDL Implementation Plan Priority Connection	Plan Type	Number of anticipated outputs	Number of outputs specifically identified at this time	Describe how these activities could be accomplished in a 3-year grant period.	Requested State Contribution for FY14-15 Biennium (\$)	Potential Leveraged Funds (\$)	Resource Management Budget(\$)	
	5 year focus plan page 6 Goal 1, objective 1, Initiative 1. BMP Program. Provide educational, technical, and financial assistance as available, to landowners for the implementation of water quality-related BMP's such as stream bank stabilization.	County	2		Priority locations will be identified in coordination with the county ditch authority and preliminary designs will be complete in the first year, a final design and construction would be completed in the second year.	\$35,000	\$9,000	\$44,000	
	Water Plan Ch.5 pg.8 Goal 1, objective 1. Control gully erosion by installing a combined 30 grade stabilization structures sediment basins.	County	4 Sediment Basins	4 Sediment Basins	Preliminary design is complete, a final design would be complete in year one and construction would be completed in the second year.	\$22,000	\$5,500	\$27,500	
3	Water Plan Ch.5 pg.8 Goal 1, objective 1. Control gully erosion by installing a combined 30 grade stabilization structures	County	2 Grade Stabilization Structure	0 Grade Stabilization Structure	Priority locations and final design would be complete in year one and construction would be completed in the second year.	\$18,000	\$5,000	\$23,000	
4									
5									

		Water Resou	irce of Concern			Targeting			Projects	and Activities	
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(0)	(0)	(K)	
Local Resource Priority	Primary Water Resource of Concern	Primary Water Resource Category	Conventional Water Quality Impairments Y/N	Water Quality Concern	Scale of Activity Focus	Watershed: 8 Digit HUC	Sub- watershed: 12- Digit HUC (if known)		Water Plan Category	Primary Activity	Why is this activity important for the water resource?
6											
7											
8											
9											
10											

	Plan Connection			Outputs			Budget	
(A)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(1)
Local Resource Priority	Water Plan or TMDL Implementation Plan Priority Connection	Plan Type	Number of anticipated outputs	Number of outputs specifically identified at this time	Describe how these activities could be accomplished in a 3-year grant period.	Requested State Contribution for FY14-15 Biennium (\$)	Potential Leveraged Funds (\$)	Resource Management Budget(\$)
6								
7								3 X-
8								
9								
10								
						Total Requested Biennial State Contribution (\$) \$292,000	Total Leveraged Funds (\$) \$86,000	Total Resource Management Budget (\$) \$378,